

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WARRINGTON, D.C. 20231
WWW.ISPIG. gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/451,315	11/30/1999	DALE F. MCINTYRE	79909F-P	79909F-P 8863	
1333	7590 03/13/2003				
PATENT L	EGAL STAFF		EXAM	EXAMINER	
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY 343 STATE STREET			CARLSON, JEFFREY D		
ROCHESTE	R, NY 14650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	·09/451,315	MCINTYRE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application and the same of the s	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee. The appropriate extension fee	fee under
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduc	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF)	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second of the secon	•		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifyir	ig the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amend	ment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place	the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	C	Jeffrey D. Carlson	
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3622	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/451,315 .

...





Application No.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Amendment to claim 32 is acceptable, however the adviewing is not believed to be positively set forth..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims are not believed to be allowable as se forth in the final rejection.